

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/787,196	04/26/2001	Ichiro Hirao	55729	6079	
21874 7	10/06/2004		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			HENRY, MICHAEL C		
P.O. BOX 558 BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1623		
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/7	09/787,196 HIRAO ET AL.					
		Exar	niner	Art Unit				
		Mich	ael C. Henry	1623				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears o	on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
Period fo	• •			NTU(C) FDOM				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cornr e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (6 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. i of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. 30) days, a reply within t atutory period will apply will. by statute, cause t	no event, however, may a rep the statutory minimum of thirty (and will expire SIX (6) MONTH he application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered time IS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely, communication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
		2b)∐ This action	n is non-final.					
3)								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
-		ending in the app	lication.					
	 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-8,11 and 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-8,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 							
•								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examine	er. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priori	tv under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		,					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have	e been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have	e been received in App	olication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority do	cuments have been re	eceived in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PC)	Γ Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the	certified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachmen	• •		∧ □	(DTO 443)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		_	ormal Patent Application (PT	⁻ O-152)			

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

The following office action is a responsive to the Amendment filed, 05/03/04.

The amendment filed 05/03/04 affects the application, 09/787,196 as follows:

- 1. Claims 1-4,6 and 11 have been amended. Claims 5,9 and 10 have been canceled. Claims 13-40 have been withdrawn. This leaves claims 1-4, 6-8,11 and 12 to be examined on the merits.
- 2. Applicant responds to the rejection under 35 USC 112 and 102 by amending and canceling said claims.

The responsive to applicants' arguments is contained herein below.

Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 11-40 are pending in application

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4,6-8,11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 4 recite the phrase "a group having capable of hindering base pairing".

However, the claim is indefinite because it is unclear which group(s) is capable of hindering base-pairing. More specifically, this phrase is vague and indefinite and, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Furthermore, it is unclear whether or not it is imperative that the group(s) has to hinder base-pairing, since the group only has to be "capable of hindering base-pairing".

Art Unit: 1623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4,6-8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rappaport (US 5,126,439).

In claim 1, applicant claims "A method for forming a selective base pair, the method comprising the step of contacting (i) a nucleic acid having, as a base, 2-aminopurine, which is substituted at position-6 by a group capable of hindering base-pairing between said 2-aminopurine and thymidine, uridine or cytosine, and (ii) with a nucleic acid having 2-oxo or 2-hydroxy pyridine as a base." Claims 2-4 and 6-8 are drawn to a method of claim 1 involving specific groups and groups with specific capabilities. Claims 11 and 12 are drawn to said method wherein the base pairs can be recognized by specific polymerases.

Rappaport discloses a method of forming a selective base pair, the method comprising contacting nucleic acid (DNA) having as base, the 2-aminopurine (6-thioguanine), which is substituted at position-6 by a group (-SH) capable of hindering base-pairing between said 2-aminopurine (6-thioguanine) and thymidine, uridine or cytosine, with a nucleic acid having 5-methyl-2-pyrimidinone (see example 3, col. 17, line 40 to col. 19, line 37). Rapport disclose that the base pairs can be recognized by DNA polymerases (seecol. 19, lines 14-37).

Art Unit: 1623

The difference between applicant's claimed method and the method of Rappaport is that Rapport dose not specifically exemplify the use of a 2-oxo or 2-hydroxy pyridine as to form a base pair. However, Rappaport discloses a genus that encompasses, fully embraces or conforms to the structure of 2-oxo or 2-hydroxy pyridine (see claim 1 especially the structure in col. 20). This structure is equivalent to 2-oxo or 2-hydroxy pyridine when X = carbon, $R_3 = O$, $R_4 = R_5 = H$). Furthermore, Rappaport discloses that the said base can be used to form a double stranded genetic sequence consisting of DNA (see claim 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed invention was made to have used the method of Rappaport to form a selective base pair, with 2-aminopurine such as 6-thioguanine and any base suggested by Rappaprt such as 2-oxo or 2-hydroxy pyridine to form a double stranded genetic sequence of a DNA duplex, since Rapport disclosed that said bases can be used to form artificial base pairs.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the method of Rappaport to form a selective base pair, with 2-aminopurine such as 6-thioguanine and any base suggested by Rappaprt such as 2-oxo or 2-hydroxy pyridine to form a double stranded genetic sequence of a DNA duplex, since Rapport disclosed that said bases can be used to form artificial base pairs.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Henry whose telephone number is 571-272-0652. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm; Mon-Fri. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be

Art Unit: 1623

reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

MCH

September 29, 2004.